U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management Little Snake Field Office 455 Emerson Street Craig, CO 81625

# DOCUMENTATION OF LAND USE PLAN CONFORMANCE AND NEPA ADEQUACY

NUMBER: DOI-BLM-CO-N010-2009-0046-DNA

PESTICIDE USE PROPOSAL NUMBER: 09-CO-100-25 through 09-CO-100-31

<u>PROJECT NAME</u>: Herbicide application to control vegetation associated with oil and gas well pad locations and access roads.

<u>LEGAL DESCRIPTION</u>: Various sites throughout Moffat County. (See descriptions, Attachment #1).

T11N R97W Sec. 16 T11N R97W Sec. 22 T12N R95W Sec. 14 T12N R95W Sec. 21 T12N R99W Sec. 26 T12N R99W Sec. 27

APPLICANT: Pride Weed Control for Samson Resources

#### A. Describe the Proposed Action

Herbicide applications would be made to control vegetation on oil and gas well locations, production treatment and transmission facilities, pipeline right-of-ways (ROWs), and access roads. These sites have been previously leveled, graded or disturbed. Treating or removing vegetation in these areas would aid in fire prevention, operation and maintenance of facilities and reduction of undesirable annual and perennial noxious weeds. Application method for each proposal would be by truck, tractor or ATV with boom sprayers. Specific invasive species or bareground application has been identified in the PUP for each chemical in the list below. Chemicals labeled appropriately would be used in tank mixes as needed depending upon vegetation at time of treatment. Applicator equipment allows for injection of chemical mixes as needed depending on treatment site including the addition of the adjuvant and highlight. Only one application would be made at each site at various times of the year depending on vegetative growing conditions. Estimated acres to be treated is 115.

		Formulated		<b>Application Rate</b>	
PUP#	Trade Name	Product	%A.I. or A.E.	(Chemical)	
09-CO-100-25	Hi-Light	n/a	n/a	40 oz./ac	
09-CO-100-26	Activator 90	n/a	n/a	4-5 pt/ac	
09-CO-100-27	Redeem R&P	1-4 pt/ac	triclopyr 2.25 lb/gal	.028-1.125 lb/ac ae	
09-00-100-27		1-4 pt/ac	clopyralid 0.75 lb/gal	.009375 lb/ac ae	
09-CO-100-28	Rifle	1-2 pt/ac	4 lb/gal a.e.	0.5 - 1.0 lb/ac ae	
09-CO-100-29	Telar XP	.25-1 oz./ac	75% a.i.	.01205 lb/ac ai	
09-CO-100-30	MSM 60	1 oz./ac	60% ai	.0375 lb/ac ai	
09-CO-100-31	2,4-D 6# LV	2 2/3 pts/ac	5.6 lb/gal ae	1.87 lb/ac ae	

All applications would conform with stipulations in Attachment #2.

#### B. Land Use Plan (LUP) Conformance

LUP Name: Little Snake Resource Management Plan and Record of Decision (ROD)

Date Approved: April 26, 1989

Final RMP/EIS, September 1986

Draft RMP/EIS, February 1986

Other Documents:

Standards for Public Land Health and Guidelines for Livestock Grazing in Colorado Date Approved: February 12, 1997

The Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, as Amended (43 USC 1752)

Rangeland Reform Final Environmental Impact Statement, December 1994.

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUPs because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decisions.

The Proposed Action is in conformance with the decision of the RMP as weed control will occur in association with all surface disturbing activities and management of the public land. The RMP Oil and Gas objective on page 6 of the ROD provides for the environmentally sound exploration and development of oil and gas resources using balanced multiple use management. The Proposed Action also conforms with county use plans.

## C. Identify applicable NEPA documents and other related documents that cover the proposed action.

Vegetation Treatments on BLM Lands in 17 Western States Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) (June, 2007).

Final Environmental Impact Statement (FEIS) Vegetation Treatment on BLM Lands in Thirteen Western States, June 5, 1991, and the Colorado Record of Decision (ROD, July 1991).

EA# CO-016-94-056 Noxious Weed Treatment in the Little Snake Resource Area (March 30, 1994) resulted in a Finding of No Significant Impact. This Environmental Assessment considered the options of Integrated Pest Management as outlined in the FEIS and adopted the standard operation procedures for vegetation treatment program implementation.

Page 1: Oil and gas drilling and production site operations and land use authorizations such as, rights-of-way (R/W) for roads, powerlines, pipelines, and communication sites frequently involve surface disturbance which often results in invasion of noxious weeds or undesirable plant species that may pose a safety or fire hazard.

Page 3: Land use authorization, rights-of-way, oil and gas APD's and mineral permits require weed control as a result of the surface disturbance activity and stipulate this responsibility to the holder or lessee.

Amendment to EA# CO-016-94-056 Noxious Weed Treatment in the Little Snake Resource Area (May 4, 1994) expanded the use of herbicide application methods to include broadcast and aerial applications.

#### D. NEPA Adequacy Criteria

# 1. Is the current proposed action substantially the same action (or is a part of that action) as previously analyzed? Is the current proposed action located at a site specifically analyzed in an existing document?

Yes. There are no changes from the Proposed Action analyzed in 1994. The site includes all BLM land within the Little Snake Resource Area, congruent with pesticide use proposal stipulations (see Attachment 2). The Pesticide Use Proposals that are reviewed and approved based on the existing NEPA documents complete the site-specific analysis for these herbicide applications.

# 2. Is the range of alternatives analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s) appropriate with respect to the current proposed action, given current environmental concerns, interests, and resource values?

Yes. The density of some invasive noxious and undesirable plant species has been reduced in some areas, and although, noxious and undesirable weeds have been identified in new locations, there have been no changes in environmental concerns, interests or resource values since 1994.

**3.** Is the existing analysis valid in light of any new information or circumstances? Yes. The Proposed Action would have no disproportionate impacts on minority populations or low income communities per Executive Order (EO) 12898 and would not adversely impact migratory birds per EO 13186.

4. Do the methodology and analytical approach used in the existing NEPA document(s) continue to be appropriate for the current proposed action?

Yes. The methodology and analytical approach used in the existing NEPA documents continue to be appropriate for the current proposed action. Impacts to all resources were analyzed.

- 5. Are the direct and indirect impacts of the current proposed action substantially unchanged from those identified in the existing NEPA document(s)? Does the existing NEPA document analyze site-specific impacts related to the current proposed action? Yes. Direct and indirect impacts of the current proposed action are unchanged from those identified in the existing NEPA documents. The Pesticide Use Proposals that are reviewed and approved based on the existing NEPA documents complete the site-specific analysis for these herbicide applications.
- 6. Can you conclude without additional analysis or information that the cumulative impacts that would result from implementation of the current proposed action substantially unchanged from those analyzed in the existing NEPA document(s)? Yes. The cumulative impacts that would result from implementation of the proposed action would remain unchanged from those identified in the existing NEPA documents.
- 7. Are the public involvement and interagency review associated with existing NEPA document(s) adequately for the current proposed action?

Yes. Extensive public outreach through scoping and involvement of the publics and other agencies occurred in the development of the RMP/EIS. The appropriate individuals were contacted in 1994 and there have been no significant changes since.

### **E.** Interdisciplinary Analysis: Identify those team members conducting or participating in the preparation of this worksheet.

Name	Title	Resource	Initials	Date	
Ole Olsen	Natural Resource	Air Quality, Floodplains	00	5/1/09	
	Specialist	Prime/Unique Farmlands, Water			
		Quality – Surface			
Robyn Morris	Archaeologist	Cultural Resources, Native American	RWM	4/27/09	
		Concerns			
Louise McMinn	Realty Specialist	Environmental Justice	LM	4/28/09	
Christina Rhyne	Environmental	Hazardous Materials	CR	5/01/09	
	Coord. NEPA				
Christina Rhyne	Rangeland	Invasive Non-native Species	CR	5/01/09	
	Management Spec.				
Hunter Seim	Rangeland	Sensitive Plants, T&E Plant	JHS	4/27/09	
	Management Spec.				
Desa Ausmus	Wildlife Biologist	T&E Animal	DA	5/01/09	
Jennifer Maiolo	Mining Engineer	Water Quality - Ground	JAM	5/5/09	
Ole Olsen	Natural Resource	Wetlands/Riparian Zones	OO	5/1/09	
	Specialist		KM		
Kimberly	Recreation WSA, W&S Rivers			5/11/09	
Miller	Specialist				
Desa Ausmus	Wildlife Biologist	Animal Communities	DA	5/01/09	
Desa Ausmus	Wildlife Biologist	Special Status, T&E Animal	DA	5/01/09	
Christina Rhyne	Rangeland	Plant Communities	CR	5/01/09	
,	Management Spec				
Hunter Seim	Rangeland	Special Status, T&E Plant	JHS	4/27/09	
	Management Spec				
Ole Olsen	Natural Resource	Riparian Systems	00	5/1/09	
	Specialist				
Ole Olsen	Natural Resource	Water Quality	00	5/1/09	
	Specialist				
Ole Olsen	Natural Resource	Upland Soils	00	5/1/09	
	Specialist				

#### **Land Health Assessment**

This action has been reviewed for conformance with the BLM's Public Land Health Standards adopted February 12, 1997. This action meets Public Land Health Standards. Land health assessments have been conducted in landscapes and watersheds within the Field Office Planning Area. Invasive plants, especially annuals weeds have been found to be a problem on many sites and once established are a threat to the herbaceous component of the plant communities.

#### Conclusion

Based on the review documented above, I conclude that this proposal conforms to the applicable
land use plan and that the NEPA documentation fully covers the proposed action and constitutes
BLM's compliance with the requirements of NEPA.

Signature of Lead Specialist	Date
Signature of NEPA Coordinator	Date
Signature of the Authorizing Official	Date

Note: The signed <u>Conclusion</u> on this document is part of an interim step in the BLM's internal decision process and does not constitute an appealable decision.

# SAMSON RESOURCES COLORADO MASTER 2008

INC.
NTROL,
EED CO
PRIDE W

	LONGITUDE	W108E17.383*	W108E17.857	W108E17.082*	W108E02.153		W109E04.2041	W108°740.0	W108°498.2
	LATITUDE	N40E55.112*	N40E54.952	N40E54.046"	N41E59.783		N40E59.161	N40°966.8	N40°965.2
	LEASE NO.	CO-036926	CO-036923	CO-036927	COC059359	COC-54772	COC-54772	COC62109	<i>C</i> 9713
	LOCATION	16, 11, 97	16, 11, 97	SWNW 22, 11, 97	SWSW 14, 12, 95	NWNW 21,12,95	SENW 21,12,95	SESW 26,12,99	SESE 27,12, 99
	9	1	2	22-1	30-14	20	6-21	3	2
	NAME	STATE OF COLORADO	STATE OF COLORADO	FEDERAL CHAMBERLAIN	RATTLESNAKE (SD OR T@A)	LITTLE SNAKE	LITTLE SNAKE (SHUT DOWN OR PA)	SHELL CREEK UNIT 24-26	SHELL CREEK UNIT
	BLOCK	11-97	11-97	11-97	12-95	12-95	12-95	12-99	12-99
	PRODUCER	SAMSON	SAMSON	SAMSON	SAMSON	SAMSON	SAMSON	SAMSON	SAMSON
	S F	MOF	MOF	MOF	MOF	MOF	MOF	MOF	MOF
	STATE	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8
	BLA	980	æ	CR6	CRG	CR6	æ	980	CRG

## Attachment #2 DOI-BLM-CO-N010-2009-0025-EA (Draft)

#### **BLM LSFO PUP Stipulations**

#### **General Stipulations:**

- All herbicide treatments on BLM administered lands will comply with applicable federal and state statutory and regulatory requirements.
- Manufacturers label directions and guidelines, including but not limited to, application rates, uses, handling instructions, storage and disposal requirements, will be followed
- All BLM procedures (BLM Handbook H-9011-1 Chemical Pest Control) and Manuals 1112 Safety, 9011 Chemical Pest Control, and 9015 Integrated Weed Management, and any other BLM requirements will be followed. Where more restrictive, BLMs requirements for rates, uses, and handling instructions will apply.
- Only certified applicators, or those directly supervised by a certified applicator, may apply herbicide on BLM administered public lands.

To ensure that risks to human health and the environment from herbicide treatments are kept to a minimum, and that all practicable means to avoid or minimize environmental harm have been adopted, the following will apply:

- All herbicide treatments will be consistent with the Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) presented in the ROD of the 2007 Final Vegetation Treatments Using Herbicides on BLM Lands in 17 Western States Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS).
- Measures to mitigate potential adverse environmental effects as a result of herbicide treatments as found in the ROD of the PEIS.
- All conservation measures, designed to protect plants and animals listed or proposed for listing as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act, as found in the Biological Assessment of the PEIS.

#### **Cultural Resources Discovery**

The applicator is responsible for informing all persons who are associated with the operations that they will be subject to prosecution for knowingly disturbing historic or archaeological sites or for collecting artifacts. If historic or archaeological materials are encountered or uncovered during any project activities, the operator is to immediately stop activities in the immediate vicinity of the find and immediately contact the authorized officer (AO) at (970) 826-5000. Within five working days, the AO will inform the operator as to:

- Whether the materials appear eligible for the National Register of Historic Places;
- The mitigation measures the operator will likely have to undertake before the identified area can be used for project activities again; and
- Pursuant to 43 CFR 10.4(g) (Federal Register Notice, Monday, December 4, 1995, Vol. 60, No. 232) the holder of this authorization must notify the AO, by telephone at (970) 826-5000, and with written confirmation, immediately upon the discovery of human remains, funerary items, sacred objects, or objects of cultural patrimony. Further, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.4(c) and (d), you must stop activities in the vicinity of the discovery and protect it for 30 days or until notified to proceed by the authorized officer.

#### **SOURCE:**